

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/673,018	09/22/2003	Kacy D. Denton	00321000779	9556
23418	7590 01/12/2005		EXAM	INER
	RICE KAUFMAN & K	BELLINGE	BELLINGER, JASON R	
222 N. LASALLE STREET CHICAGO, IL 60601			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3617	

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. /							
1		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/673,018	DENTON, KACY D.				
Office	Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jason R Bellinger	3617				
The MAIL Period for Reply	ING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time rr after SIX (6) MONTH  - If the period for reply - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received b	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 form the mailing date of this communication. Specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we not the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by the Office later than three months after the mailing indjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for a cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  rom the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ Responsiv	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 October 2004</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del></del>						
closed in a	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Clai	ms						
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) _ 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1</u> 7) ☑ Claim(s) <u>9</u>	-10 is/are pending in the application. above claim(s) is/are withdrav is/are allowed8 and 10 is/are rejected. is/are objected to are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers	3						
10) The drawing Applicant management Replacement	cation is objected to by the Examine ag(s) filed on is/are: a) according not request that any objection to the ont drawing sheet(s) including the correct r declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119						
a) All b) [ 1	gment is made of a claim for foreign Some * c) None of:  lified copies of the priority document lified copies of the priority document lies of the certified copies of the prior lication from the International Bureau ached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applic rity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)		_					
<ol> <li>Notice of Reference</li> <li>Double of Draftsper</li> </ol>	es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma					
	sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	_	al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

## Response to Amendment

1. The indicated allowability of claims 1-10 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Slesinski et al. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 4 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4 is indefinite due to the fact that it is unclear what opening is being referred to by the phrase "an opening" in line 4. The hubcap has an opening, while the vent plug also has a plurality of openings, thus making it unclear which opening is being referred to in line 4 of claim 4.

Claim 10 is indefinite due to the fact that it is unclear what element of the invention the shroud has a smaller diameter than, as set forth in line 15.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Art Unit: 3617

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Slesinski et al in view of Denton. As best shown in Figures 1 and 8, Slesinski et al shows a hubcap vent plug 22 that is resistant to penetration by water under high pressure, wherein the plug 22 includes an axially outer end face portion and sidewall portions adapted to mate in fluid-tight relation with an opening in an associated hubcap 20. A small diameter opening 46 terminates in a thin web of material from which the plug 22 is made. A valve cover 50 with an inner surface that is substantially parallel to the end face portion of the plug 22 and spaced closely axially apart from the end face portion defines at least one transverse opening 72 between the axially outer end face and the valve cover 50.

The vent plug 22 includes an enlarged diameter opening that communicates with the interior of the opening in the associated hubcap 20 at one end and with the small diameter opening 46 at the other end. A stepped passage has a first enlarged diameter opening (beginning at the axially outer end of the enlarged diameter opening that communicates with the interior of the opening in the associated hubcap 20) and a second reduced diameter opening (the cylindrical passage) that communicates with the interior of the opening in the associated hubcap 20 at one end and with the small diameter opening 46 at the other end.

The vent plug 22 includes a radially outer surface portion having axially inner and outer tapered surfaces with a reduced diameter portion therebetween, wherein the tapered surface is adapted to mate with the opening of the hubcap 20 in fluid-tight

Application/Control Number: 10/673,018

Art Unit: 3617

relation. The vent plug 22 is made from an elastomer, such as rubber. While Slesinski et al does not specify whether the plug 22 is made from natural, synthetic, or thermoplastic rubber, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to use any type of rubber material dependent upon availability and cost.

Page 4

Transverse opening 72 extends into a pair of transverse openings (78, 80) in the vent plug assembly (20, 22).

6. Slesinski et al does not specify that the valve be formed as a slit in a thin web of material that is normally closed, which allows pressure and vacuum to vent through the valve and to resist penetration of pressurized water through the valve.

Denton teaches the use of a hubcap vent plug 16 having a normally closed valve 84 formed as a slit in a thin web of material, which allows pressure and vacuum to vent through the valve and to resist penetration of pressurized water through the valve 84. Therefore from this teaching, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to form the valve of Slesinski et al as a normally closed slit in the thin web of material as a substitution of equivalent valve structures, to prevent contaminants from entering the valve.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

:

Application/Control Number: 10/673,018 Page 5

Art Unit: 3617

8. Claim 10 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason R Bellinger whose telephone number is 703-308-6298. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thurs (9:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Morano can be reached on 703-308-0230. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jason R Bellinger

Examiner

Art Unit 3617

S. #SEPH MORANO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600**